

## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/107,971 Applicant(s)

Walker et al.

Examiner

Art Unit

	John Young	3622
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) John Young	(3)	
(2) <u>Dean Alderucci</u>	(4)	
Date of Interview Apr 30, 2002	_	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief descript	ion:
Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1. (fractional lottery tickets)		
Identification of prior art discussed:  Roberts US 5,772,510		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached	l. g)⊠ was not reached. h)[	] N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the genera any other comments:	I nature of what was agreed to i	f an agreement was reached, or
Discussed Examiner's broad interpretation of the claims.  to the claims will be considered which may narrrow the claims.		
broad interpretation of the claims. The Examiner advised	Applicant's representative that t	the Examiner would have to
conduct an update prior art search upon receiving any ame	endments.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
i) $oxtimes$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the substance of t	the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FRO SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	PEP section 713.04). If a reply to OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO	o the last Office action has FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
		reverse side or on attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's	s signature, if required